

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                         | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/086,584                              | 02/28/2002    | Robert J. Ward       | INVSC.4                 | 9027             |  |
| 75                                      | 90 08/16/2006 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| Robert J. Ward                          |               |                      | MAI, T                  | MAI, TRI M       |  |
| 3313 Hidalgo Street<br>Irving, TX 75062 |               |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| <b>C</b> ,                              |               |                      | 3727                    |                  |  |
|   |               |                      | DATE MAILED: 08/16/2006 | 5                |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | $\chi_{l}$   |  |
|--|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |
|  | 10/086,584   | WARD ET AL.  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |
|  | Tri M. Mai   | 3727   |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | pears on the cover sheet w   | ith the correspondence address   |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO a, cause the application to become A | CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |
| Status   |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   | —·<br>s action is non-final.   |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowal   |  | ters prosecution as to the merits is   |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | •  |  |  |
| ,  |  | ,  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>44-48,54 and 60</u> is/are pending in the   |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  | wn from consideration.   |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>44-48,54 and 60</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o   | or election requirement.   |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine   | er.  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc   |  | by the Examiner.   |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | drawing(s) be held in abeya  | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct   |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | xaminer. Note the attache  | d Office Action or form PTO-152.   |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  | priority under 35 U.S.C.   | § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority document   |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prio<br/>application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>   | -  | received in this National Stage  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   |  | received.  |  |
|  | ·  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  |  | Summary (PTO-413)<br>(s)/Mail Date   |  |
| <ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>  | 5) Notice of   | Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 6)   |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/086,584

Art Unit: 3727

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 44, 45, 46, 54, and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eckstein (5203390) in view of Shih (6138727), and further in view of either Chapman (1957577) or Hendren (5813080). Eckstein teaches an apparatus having an outer layer 18 of waterproof material (col. 3, ln. 5) having a top portion, a bottom portion, and a middle portion, an interior surface and an opening formed at the bottom position, an internal volume, a moisture absorbent member 30 positioned within the volume of the outer foam layer adjacent the middle portion of the interior surface. It is noted that the inner layer would have an open top end when the three edges 22, 24 and 26 are stitched together to form the cover. Eckstein meets all claimed limitations except for the material being a foam material and the hook element.

Either Chapman or Hendren teaches that it is known in the art to provide a hook element 10, 26 respectively. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a hook element in Eckstein as taught by Chapman or Hendren to secure the device to the golf bag easily.

With respect to the material being a foam material, Shih teaches that it is known in the art to make a cover from foam material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the outer layer from foam material in Eckstein as taught by Shih to provide the desired material for the outer layer.

Regarding claim 60, note the opening would have an elliptical shape when the closure 16 is in a relaxed state.

2. Claims 47 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Eckstein rejection, as set forth above, and further in view of Solheim (4667716). It would have

Application/Control Number: 10/086,584

Art Unit: 3727

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the cover from closed-cell foam material as taught by Solheim to provide an alternative material.

Regarding claim 48, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a logo on the exterior surface as taught by Solheim, col. 2, ln 10-14, to advertise the product.

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but they are not persuasive. It is noted that the correct patentn number for Chapman is U.S. 1,957,577.

  Applicant asserts that Eckstein teaches away from the present invention by requiring a retainer, e.gl drawings at the open end. The examiner submits that the limitation wherein "the shaft of the golf club may be inserted into the opening....and the outer foam layer operable to be squeezed to contactthe moisture absorbent" is an intended use recitation. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In this case, the invention is broadly set forth as an "apparatus" and the apparatus as claimed does not impart any structure over the cover in Chapman. Furthermore, it is noted that the claim recites "comprising", which does not exclude other elements into the claims.

  Furthermore, it is noted that the cover of Eckstein is clearly capable of the intended use, i.e., prior to the securing of the drawstring, one can utilize the cover in the intended manner.
- 4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3727

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri M. Mai whose telephone number is (571)272-4541. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Newhouse can be reached on (571)272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tri M. Mai Normary Examiner Art Unit 3727